

Supplementary Figure 4. Local high-yielding varieties in different local conditions enhanced production. (a, c) Correlation analysis of $r_{\text {yield }}$ and $r_{\text {area }}$ with yield rate during 1986-2000 (a) and 2001-2016 (c). (b, d) Multilevel linear regression for yield rate by $r_{\text {yield }}$ to $r_{\text {area }}$ during 1986-2000 (b) and 2001-2016 (d). The $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CIs) of the partial regression coefficient are shown. The asterisk is used to represent the significance of the regression coefficient. ( ${ }^{* * *} p<0.001,0.001<{ }^{*} p<0.01,0.01<{ }^{*} p<0.05$ ). (e) Diagram illustrating the cultivation of local high-yielding varieties in different local conditions to increase production. Variety A' yielded $30 \%$ more than the control variety C and covered $5 \%$ of the total area. Variety B' yielded $40 \%$ more than the control variety C and was planted in $5 \%$ of the total area. The remaining $85 \%$ was planted with variety C. $y$ is the average yield of control Variety C. The rice plant as "Created with BioRender.com".

