

Supplementary File 1: Proof supplements for this study.

We include here all the omitted proofs.

A - Basic properties of the axiom system

These are just results in normal modal logic; we include the proofs for reference.

Lemma 4.4. *The following hold in A.*

1. (Deduction theorem) *If $\Gamma, \psi \vdash \chi$, then $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \chi$.*
 2. ($\Box$ -Monotonicity) *If $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\psi$, $\vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi'$ and $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\psi' \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\psi'$.*
 3. ($\Diamond$ -Monotonicity) *If $\Gamma \vdash \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi$, $\vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi'$ and $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi' \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\Gamma \vdash \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi'$.*
 4. $\vdash ([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\psi \ \& \ [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\chi) \leftrightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}](\psi \ \& \ \chi)$.
 5. (Replacement) *Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \theta \leftrightarrow \theta'$. Then $\Gamma \vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi[\theta'/\theta]$, provided this is an $\mathcal{H}$ formula.*
 6. $\vdash \sim[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\psi \leftrightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \sim\psi$
-

7. $\vdash \sim \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi \leftrightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi$
8. $\vdash (\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi \sqcup \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \chi) \leftrightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \sqcup \chi)$.
9. $\vdash ([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \& \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \top) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi$.
10. $\vdash ([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \& \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \chi) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \& \chi)$.
11. $\vdash \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \& \chi) \rightarrow (\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi \& \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \chi)$.

Proof. 1) By induction on the length of a proof of $\Gamma, \psi \vdash \chi$.

Suppose χ is an axiom. Then $\vdash \chi$. By I0, $\vdash \chi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$. Thus, by MP, $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \chi$.

Suppose χ is in Γ . Then, since $\vdash \chi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$ by I0, we have $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \chi$ by MP.

Suppose χ is ψ . Then $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi$ by I0.

Suppose the last rule used is MP; then χ follows from assumptions θ and $\theta \rightarrow \chi$, such that $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash \theta$ and $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash \theta \rightarrow \chi$ (via subderivations). By i.h., $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \theta$ and $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \chi)$. Since, by I0, $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow [(\psi \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)]$, we get $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \chi$ by two applications of MP.

Suppose the last rule used is NEC; then χ is of the form $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \varphi$, and $\vdash \varphi$. Using NEC, we obtain $\vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \varphi$. By I0, $\vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \varphi)$, so, by MP, $\vdash \psi \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \varphi$. *A fortiori*, then, $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \varphi$.

2) If $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$, $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi' \in \mathcal{H}$, then ψ, ψ' are modal-free. Thus, from $\vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi'$, by NEC, we get $\vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \rightarrow \psi')$. By I5 and MP, $\vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi'$. Thus, by MP, from the assumption that $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$ we obtain $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi'$.

3) Remember that $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle$ abbreviates $\neg[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \neg$. From $\vdash \psi \rightarrow \psi'$ we obtain $\vdash \sim \psi' \rightarrow \sim \psi$ by I0 and MP. As in the proof of point 2., we can show that $\sim \psi' \rightarrow \sim \psi$ is modal-free. Thus, by NEC and I5, $\vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi' \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi$. Again by I0 and NEC, we obtain $\vdash \sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi \rightarrow \sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi'$. Thus, from $\Gamma \vdash \sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi$ we obtain $\Gamma \vdash \sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi'$ by MP.

4) Assume $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \& [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \chi$. We obtain $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$ and $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \chi$ by I0 and MP. Since ψ and χ are modal-free, by I0 and NEC, we have $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow (\psi \& \chi)))$. Applying I5 and MP, we obtain $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\chi \rightarrow (\psi \& \chi))$. Again by I5 and MP, we obtain $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \& \chi)$. Then $([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \& [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \chi) \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \& \chi)$ by the deduction theorem.

In the opposite direction, assume $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \& \chi)$. By I0 and NEC we have $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] ((\psi \& \chi) \rightarrow \psi)$. By I5, $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \& \chi) \rightarrow [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$. We then obtain $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$ by MP. $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \chi$ is obtained analogously.

5) Induction on φ .

If φ is an atom, then either $\theta = \varphi$ or θ is not a subformula of φ . Both cases are easy.

Case φ is $\psi \& \chi$. We prove this case by the deduction theorem. Assume Γ and φ ; then, we get ψ and χ by I0+MP. By the i.h., $\Gamma \vdash \psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\theta'/\theta]$ and $\Gamma \vdash \chi \leftrightarrow \chi[\theta'/\theta]$. Thus, by MP, we get $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \psi[\theta'/\theta]$ and $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \chi[\theta'/\theta]$. By I0+MP, we conclude $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \varphi[\theta'/\theta]$. So, by the deduction theorem, $\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \varphi[\theta'/\theta]$. The converse direction is analogous.

Case φ is $\sim \psi$. We have $\Gamma \vdash \theta \leftrightarrow \theta'$; by the i.h., $\Gamma \vdash \psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\theta'/\theta]$. Since, by I0, $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\theta'/\theta]) \leftrightarrow (\sim \psi \leftrightarrow \sim \psi[\theta'/\theta])$, we conclude $\Gamma \vdash \sim \psi \leftrightarrow \sim \psi[\theta'/\theta]$.

Case φ is $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$. By the i.h., $\Gamma \vdash \psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\theta'/\theta]$. By NEC, $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\theta'/\theta])$. By point 4., plus I0+MP, we obtain $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi \rightarrow \psi[\theta'/\theta])$ and $\Gamma \vdash [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\psi[\theta'/\theta] \rightarrow \psi)$. By axiom I5 and I0+MP, we obtain the desired conclusion.

6) We use once more the deduction theorem. Assume first $\sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$. We have $\vdash \psi \leftrightarrow \sim \sim \psi$ by I0, so by replacement and MP we obtain $\sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \sim \psi$. This is $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \sim \psi$ by definition.

Vice versa, assume $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \sim \psi$, i.e. $\sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \sim \psi$. By I0, replacement and MP, we obtain $\sim [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$.

7) $\sim\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi$ abbreviates $\sim\sim[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi$. By I0 and MP, it is equivalent to $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi$.

8) Assume $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi \sqcup \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \chi$; it abbreviates $\sim(\sim\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi \ \& \ \sim\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \chi)$. By part 7. and replacement (twice) we obtain $\sim([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \psi \ \& \ [\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \chi)$. Then, by part 4. and MP, we obtain $\sim[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\sim \psi \ \& \ \sim \chi)$. By part 6., then, $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \sim(\sim \psi \ \& \ \sim \chi)$, i.e. $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \sqcup \chi)$. The converse is similar.

10) From the negation of the conclusion we obtain $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim(\psi \ \& \ \chi)$ by point 7. Together with the assumption $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi$, by point 4., we obtain $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] (\sim(\psi \ \& \ \chi) \ \& \ \psi)$, and thus by I0 and replacement $[\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \sim \chi$. Finally, by point 7. again, $\sim\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \chi$.

9) By point 10. we have $\vdash ([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \ \& \ \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \top) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \ \& \ \top)$. By I0 and replacement, $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \ \& \ \top) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi$. Thus, by I0 and point 2., $\vdash ([\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] \psi \ \& \ \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \top) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle \psi$.

11) Assume $\langle \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \rangle (\psi \ \& \ \chi)$. Since $\vdash (\psi \ \& \ \chi) \rightarrow \psi$, by monotonicity (point 3.) we obtain $\diamond \psi$. We obtain analogously $\diamond \chi$. Thus, $\diamond \psi \ \& \ \diamond \chi$ by I0. $\square$

B - Maximally consistent sets of formulas

The following proofs are routine, and included for reference.

Lemma B.1. *Let $\Gamma \cup \{\chi\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. If $\Gamma \vdash \chi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \sim \chi$, then $\Gamma \vdash \perp$.*

Proof. Remembering that $\perp$ abbreviates $X = x \ \& \ \sim X = x$, We observe that $\chi \rightarrow (\sim \chi \rightarrow \perp)$ is an instance of a tautology, so we obtain $\Gamma \vdash \perp$ by I0 and two applications of MP. $\square$

Lemma B.2. *Suppose $\Gamma \not\vdash \chi$. Then, $\Gamma \cup \{\sim \chi\}$ is consistent.*

Proof. Suppose it is not; then $\Gamma \cup \{\sim \chi\} \vdash \psi, \sim \psi$ for some formula ψ . By I0, $\vdash \psi \rightarrow (\sim \psi \rightarrow \psi \ \& \ \sim \psi)$, so by MP, $\Gamma \cup \{\sim \chi\} \vdash \psi \ \& \ \sim \psi$. By the deduction theorem, $\Gamma \vdash \sim \chi \rightarrow (\psi \ \& \ \sim \psi)$. By I0 and MP, we obtain $\Gamma \vdash \chi$, contrarily to the assumption. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let Γ be a maximally consistent set of $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ formulas (or $\mathcal{H}_\sigma^+$ formulas). Then:*

1. *Closure under $\vdash$: if $\Gamma \vdash \psi$, then $\psi \in \Gamma$.*
2. *Completeness: for every formula ψ , either ψ or $\sim \psi$ is in Γ .*
3. *Closure under $\&$: if $\psi, \chi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi \ \& \ \chi \in \Gamma$.*
4. *Primality: if $\psi \sqcup \chi \in \Gamma$, then $\psi \in \Gamma$ or $\chi \in \Gamma$.*
5. *Impossibility of contradiction: $\diamond \perp \notin \Gamma$.*

Proof. 1) Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \psi$. Then, Γ and $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\}$ have the same set of consequences; thus, by the maximality of Γ , $\psi \in \Gamma$.

2) Suppose first that $\Gamma \vdash \psi$. Then, $\psi \in \Gamma$ by point 1.

If instead $\Gamma \not\vdash \psi$, then, by lemma B.2, $\Gamma \cup \{\sim \psi\}$ is consistent, and $\Gamma \cup \{\sim \psi\} \supseteq \Gamma$; thus, by the maximality of Γ , $\sim \psi \in \Gamma$.

3) By the assumptions, $\Gamma \vdash \psi, \chi$. By I0, $\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow (\psi \ \& \ \chi))$. Thus, by two applications of MP, $\Gamma \vdash \psi \ \& \ \chi$. Thus, by 1., $\psi \ \& \ \chi \in \Gamma$.

4) Suppose $\psi \notin \Gamma$ and $\chi \notin \Gamma$. By 2., then, $\sim \psi \in \Gamma$ and $\sim \chi \in \Gamma$. By 3., $\sim \psi \ \& \ \sim \chi \in \Gamma$. By I0 and part 2., $\sim(\sim \psi \ \& \ \sim \chi) \in \Gamma$, i.e. $\sim(\psi \sqcup \chi) \in \Gamma$. By the consistency of Γ , then, $\psi \sqcup \chi \notin \Gamma$.

5) Note that $\diamond \perp$ abbreviates $\sim \square \sim \perp$, i.e., $\sim \square \top$. On the other hand, $\square \top \in \Gamma$ by I0 and NEC. Thus, by the consistency of Γ , $\sim \square \top \notin \Gamma$. $\square$