

Supplementary Table S2 Carbon emissions of biochar cement composites (A1/B1/C1/D1)

Materials/Processes (10 ⁻⁵ kg)	Without BC	A1/B1/C1/D1 (1%)	A1/B1/C1/D1 (2%)	A1/B1/C1/D1 (3%)	A1/B1/C1/D1 (5%)	Ecoinvent inputs
OPC	29400	29100	28800	28500	27900	Cement production, Portland
Water	2.69	2.69/4.93/ 4.37/6.61	2.69/7.17/ 6.05/10.53	2.69/9.41/ 7.73/14.45	2.69/13.89/ 11.09/22.29	Tap water production, conventional treatment
BC300 production (-)	-	149.32/174.21/149.32/ 174.21	298.64/348.42/298.64/ 348.42	447.97/522.63/447.97/ 522.63	746.61/871.05/746.61/ 871.05	Biochar preparation
NaOH	-	0/0/636/636	0/0/1272/ 1272	0/0/1908/ 1908	0/0/3180/ 3180	China carbon emissions database
Transportation	164.4	167.02/167.11/167.02/ 167.11	169.63/169.82/169.63/ 169.82	172.24/172.52/172.24/ 172.52	177.47/177.9 4/177.47/ 177.94	Transportation distance: 30 km
Avoided emission (-)	-	0.44/0.45/ 0.44/0.45	0.87/0.89/ 0.87/0.89	1.31/1.34/ 1.31/1.34	2.18/2.23/ 2.18/2.23	-
Net emission	29567.088	29119.95/29097.38/29757.63/ 29735.06	28672.81/28627.68/299 48.17/ 29903.04	28225.65/28157.96/301 38.69/ 30071.00	27331.37/27 218.55/3051 9.77/ 30406.95	-

comment:

- 1) According to the <Construction Carbon Emission Calculation Standard> issued by China, the carbon emission factors of OPC and water are 735 kg·tonne⁻¹ and 0.168 kg·tonne⁻¹ , respectively. Moreover, energy losses from transportation aren't considered as the water is assumed to be directly sourced from the laboratory [S1].
- 2) The energy consumption for biomass collection is 241.992 kg·MJ⁻¹, with diesel carbon emissions at 3.1863 kg CO_{2e} kg⁻¹ [S2, S3]. Refer to the calculation formula as shown in Equation (S1) [S4].

$$G_H = \frac{M_o \times 241.992 \times 3.1863}{43} \quad (S1)$$

Where, M_o and G_H represent biomass mass and CO₂ emissions during biomass transportation, respectively.

- 3) The heat loss rate of the biomass drying process is 25%, and the energy consumption of its pretreatment is calculated as follows (S2) [S5]. Based on the actual conditions of local corn straw, the moisture content is set at 20%. Moreover, the electrical energy required per unit of biomass pulverized was 273.382 MJ·t⁻¹, referring to the calculation formula as

shown in Equation (S3) [S6, S7].

$$H_{dry} = \frac{M_{water} \times T \times C_{water} + M_{biomass} \times T \times C_{biomass} + H \times M_{water}}{(1 - 0.25)} \quad (S2)$$

$$H_{grind} = M_{biomass} \times 273.382 \quad (S3)$$

Where, M_{water} , T , and $M_{biomass}$ represent the total dry weight of water, the temperature difference during drying (the value is 80 °C in this study), and biomass transported to the plant (t), while C_{water} and $C_{biomass}$ represent the specific heat capacity of water and biomass ($\text{kg} \cdot (\text{kg} \cdot \text{C})^{-1}$), respectively. Moreover, H_{grind} and $M_{biomass}$ represent the electricity consumed for biomass pulverization ($\text{MJ} \cdot \text{t}^{-1}$) and the mass of biomass (t), respectively.

4) Bio-oil is assumed to be used as the energy source for biomass pyrolysis and the syngas offset equivalent of coal is calculated using Equation (S4) [S8]. The calorific value of syngas, the power conversion efficiency, and the CO₂ emissions from coal-fired power generation are 3.98 MJ·kg⁻¹, 35%, and 1.07 kg·(kW·h)⁻¹, respectively, as shown in Equation (S4) [S9, S10]. Moreover, 80% of the stabilized carbon is used as permanently sequestered carbon [S11, S12]. Refer to the calculation formula as shown in Equation (S5).

$$G = \frac{M_{gas} \times H_{heat} \times L \times B \times 1000}{3.6} \quad (S4)$$

$$H = M \times C \times 80\% \times 3.67 \quad (S5)$$

Where, M_{gas} is the mass of syngas, H_{heat} is the calorific value of biogas, L is the power conversion factor, B is the CO₂ emissions from coal power production, H is the carbon sequestration capacity of biochar, M is the mass of biochar, and C is the carbon content of biochar. In addition, the conversion factor for C-CO₂ is 3.67 [S11].

5) According to the [S13] biomass landfill-related emissions of CO₂ is 11.13 g (m²·h)⁻¹ and CH₄ is 0.98 g (m²·h)⁻¹. Furthermore, the landfill time is assumed to be one month.

6) The carbon emission factor of NaOH is 1.59 kg CO_{2e}·kg⁻¹, and the capacity of ultrasonic cleaner is 15L [S14].

References

- S1. GB/T 51366-2019. 2019. "Standard for calculating carbon emissions from buildings"
- S2. Xu Y, Tian Y, Zhao L, Yao Z, Hou S, et al. 2014. Comparison on cost and energy consumption with different straw's collection-store-transportation modes. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 30(20):259-267
- S3. Jiang Z. 2013. *Assessment of the mitigation potential of greenhouse gas emissions for biochar technology*. Thesis. Ocean University of China, China pp. 25–51.

- S4. Liu R. 2022. *Process Chemistry, Mechanisms, and Environmental Benefits for the Pyrolysis of Waste Biomass*. Thesis. University of Science and Technology of China, China pp. 127–156.
- S5. Huang Y, Anderson M, McIlveen-Wright D, Lyons GA, McRoberts WC, et al. 2015. Biochar and renewable energy generation from poultry litter waste: a technical and economic analysis based on computational simulations. *Applied Energy* 160:656-663
- S6. Roberts KG, Gloy BA, Joseph S, Scott NR, Lehmann J. 2010. Life cycle assessment of biochar systems: estimating the energetic, economic, and climate change potential. *Environmental Science & Technology* 44(2):827-833
- S7. Cheng F, Luo H, Colosi LM. 2020. Slow pyrolysis as a platform for negative emissions technology: An integration of machine learning models, life cycle assessment, and economic analysis. *Energy Conversion and Management* 223:113258
- S8. Laird DA, Brown RC, Amonette JE, Lehmann J. 2009. Review of the pyrolysis platform for coproducing bio-oil and biochar. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining* 3(5):547-562
- S9. Shackley S, Hammond J, Gaunt J, Ibarrola R. 2011. The feasibility and costs of biochar deployment in the UK. *Carbon Management* 2(3):335-356
- S10. Gaunt JL, Lehmann J. 2008. Energy balance and emissions associated with biochar sequestration and pyrolysis bioenergy production. *Environmental Science & Technology* 42(11):4152-4158
- S11. Roberts KG, Gloy BA, Joseph S, Scott NR, Lehmann J. 2020. Life Cycle Assessment of Biochar Systems: Estimating the Energetic, Economic, and Climate Change Potential. *Environmental Science & Technology* 44(2):827-833
- S12. Xiao J, Li A, Ding T. 2016. Emission for recycled aggregate concrete Life cycle assessment on CO₂. *Natural Science Edition* 46(5):1088-1092
- S13. Wang T, Li M, Wang Q, Miao X, Xu L, et al. 2024. Long-time Study of Carbon Emission Levels of Domestic Waste Incineration, Waste Landfill and Wastewater Disposal. *Environmental Sanitation Engineering* 32(02):75-84
- S14. Carbon Emission Factor Database. <https://esg.epmap.org/efdb>.